

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Finance, Planning and Youth Welfare).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes. Under the Sales Tax Law tax is leviable even though the seller does not collect it from his buyers.

(c)	<i>Fine</i>	<i>Med. Fine</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>Coarse</i>
Profit allowed per quintal of food-grains.	3-00	3-00	3-00	3-00
Sales tax leviable at $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on raw rice.	1.58	1.50	1.44	1.41
Sales tax leviable at $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on Boiled Rice.	1.56	1.49	1.43	1.40

(however this sales tax is to be separately collected and paid and the profit allowed would not be affected).

(d) The Food Department had permitted the retail dealers to collect sales tax from their customers in addition to the price and profit fixed by it.

(e) Collection of sales tax was never overlooked.

(f) Failure of the societies to get themselves registered and submit returns until such failure is detected by the Department is responsible for their not being assessed promptly.

(g) There is no proposal to waive the tax due from co-operative societies.

Notice re : Naxalites' activities in the State

Sri N. A. CHANNE GOWDA.—Sir, I had sent a call attention notice regarding Naxalites.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅಮೇಲೆ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎ. ಚನ್ನೇಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯುವ ಸೂಚನೆಯ ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆ. ತಮ್ಮ ಅಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ ಒಂದು ಕಾಗದ ಬಂತು. ಅಮೇಲೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಾರದೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕೇರಳ ಮೈಸೂರು ಬಾರ್ಡರ್ ವಿಷಯ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯುವ ಸೂಚನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏಕೆ ತಾರತಮ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question was about the border between Kerala and Mysore and whether there were activities of Naxalites. After certain discussion the hon. Member agreed not to press his call attention notice. The matter rests there. Now it is wrong on the part of the hon. Member to mention things which were confidentially disclosed to him.

**Sri Siddaveerappa seeking permission to have a personal explanation
re : accounts of Vidhana Soudha Construction**

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I rise to make a personal explanation arising out of replies to starred Question No. 507 by Sri Mahadev Bankar.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Should not the hon. Member give me notice in writing ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Because this question has just now come up, I do not think there is any necessity of notice. Kindly permit me to say a few words.

The point is at that relevant point of time in July 1956 I was a member of the Mysore Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is there any allegation against the hon. Member.?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—He has stated about the then Government. Government is not an impersonal body ; Government is a corporate body consisting of some individuals out of which I was also a member.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member may please give his points in writing. I shall consider and then permit him.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I do not think it is necessary to give in writing. Kindly permit me to raise it here because if the matter is allowed to be left like that, it will gather further dust. What I am now saying is that in July 1956 Sri Hanumanthaiah was the Chief Minister of Mysore when this Vidhana Soudha was constructed. At that time a special portfolio was created which was exclusively under the jurisdiction of Sri Hanumanthaiah. The amount that was spent for it was sanctioned by the Legislature. At that time some body raised a doubt. I do not want to mention names now. I would only say that there was some kind of trouble about the money spent.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am very sorry. Hon. Member is making a statement. How is it relevant here ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—When that doubt was raised, the Government appointed a Committee.